The Lingual Pearl is a passive-guidance appliance used primarily to retrain patients’ tongue function and for speech therapy. It also can be used in orthodontic treatment when it is important to correct the position of the tongue at rest and during swallowing. The Lingual Pearl can be attached to a transpalatal bar, a Quad Helix,* a thermoformed splint, or a wire bonded to the lingual surfaces of the premolars. Because of its versatility with either removable or fixed appliances, the Pearl can be applied at any time during or after orthodontic treatment.

A drawback of the fixed Lingual Pearl during orthodontic treatment is the frequent need for tooth movement at sites where the device may be attached, such as the upper first premolars. The solution for this problem is to attach the Pearl to a palatal micro-implant, which led me to design the Micro-Implant Pearl (MIP).

The size of the acrylic bead is an important consideration when using either the Lingual Pearl or MIP. Many similar appliances sold commercially feature a bead that is too large. For speech therapy and deglutition reeducation, the MIP should be small enough to serve simply as a reference point for proper tongue placement. The bead should not exceed 2mm in diameter, and the tongue tip should be able to touch the bead and the palatal tissue simultaneously. Construction should allow for movement in as many planes as possible to maximize tongue conditioning.

For orthodontic therapy, the Pearl can be slightly larger—1.5-3mm in diameter. It can be placed during initial treatment, when the tongue is the sole etiological factor in the development of malocclusion. After correction of an open bite or space closure, the MIP can help prevent the tongue from reverting to the conditions under which the original abnormality developed. Surgical patients can also benefit from the MIP, considering the abrupt alteration in the amount of space available for the tongue, especially in open-bite cases.

Speech and Deglutition Therapy

Three versions of the Micro-Implant Pearl have been developed. The MIP 1, intended for use in speech and swallowing therapy, features an acrylic bead on a rectangular wire, which is attached to the cap of a Dentos joint-head mini-implant** (Fig. 1). This design is being replaced by the MIP 3 (Fig. 2), a flexible silicone version being tested by the author and Dr. Hee-Moon Kyung, to be made available later this year. The silicone MIP 3 or “tongue joystick” will be extremely elastic, offering even more possibilities for tongue movement and reeducation.

Diction-related problems have a multifaceted etiology that includes anatomical, psychological, and mental factors. The degree of severity can range from slight difficulty in the correct pronunciation of individual words to total inability to articulate sounds, words, and sentences. Considering that malocclusions are closely linked to lingual function, orthodontic treatment can represent an important adjunct to speech therapy. A cross-disciplinary treatment plan is the best strategy. Speech therapy can include simple muscular exercises, pronunciation of consonants, or production of sounds, melodies, words, and phrases (Fig. 3).

Orthodontic Treatment

The MIP 2 is similar to the MIP 1 in that it incorporates a joint-head micro-implant, but in this case the acrylic bead is attached to a nylon screw*** that inserts into the cap of the metal miniscrew (Fig. 4). The Pearl can move up, down, and laterally and thus serve as a reference point to help a patient determine the correct location for the tip of the tongue.

The following case report shows successful open-bite treatment using the MIP 2.

Case Report

A 13-year-old male patient presented with a Class III malocclusion, anterior and posterior crossbite, ectopically erupted upper canines, and severe maxillary crowding (Fig. 5A). After extraction of the upper premolars, fixed appliances were bonded in the upper arch, and Class III elastics were used to correct the anterior crossbite. An open bite and lower spacing then appeared because


***Available from the author.
of the patient’s low tongue position (Fig. 5B).

The MIP 2 was placed in the palate (Fig. 5C), and appropriate exercises were explained to the patient. After three months, the anterior open bite was closed, and the spacing had disappeared without any additional use of elastics (Fig. 5D).

The MIP 2 was left in place for four months after removal of the fixed appliances (Fig. 5E).

**Discussion**

No breakage or failure of the MIP has been observed to date. Failure of the miniscrew is much more likely and was one of the reasons for development of the silicone MIP 3. This flexible “tongue joystick” will greatly reduce the force transmitted to the miniscrew during tongue exercises and movement.

It should be noted that placement of an implant in the midpalatal suture carries risks to the development of the suture in the deciduous or mixed dentition. For younger patients, the traditional, toothborne Lingual Pearl is recommended.

*(continued on next page)*
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Fig. 5 A. 13-year-old male patient with anterior crossbite treated with maxillary fixed appliances and Class III elastics. B. After correction of crossbite, patient exhibited open bite and lower spacing from low tongue position. C. MIP 2 placed in palate for tongue reeducation. D. Three months later, bite and diastemas closed without use of elastics. E. MIP left in place for four months after removal of appliances.