Three-dimensional analysis of maxillary protraction with intermaxillary elastics to miniplates
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Introduction: Early Class III treatment with reverse-pull headgear generally results in maxillary skeletal protraction but is frequently also accompanied by unfavorable dentoalveolar effects. An alternative treatment with intermaxillary elastics from a temporary anchorage device might permit equivalent favorable skeletal changes without the unwanted dentoalveolar effects. Methods: Six consecutive patients (3 boys, 3 girls; ages, 10-13 years 3 months) with Class III occlusion and maxillary deficiency were treated by using intermaxillary elastics to titanium miniplates. Cone-beam computed tomography scans taken before and after treatment were used to create 3-dimensional volumetric models that were superimposed on nongrowing structures in the anterior cranial base to determine anatomic changes during treatment. Results: The effect of the intermaxillary elastic forces was throughout the nasomaxillary structures. All 6 patients showed improvements in the skeletal relationship, primarily through maxillary advancement with little effect on the dentoalveolar units or change in mandibular position. Conclusions: The use of intermaxillary forces applied to temporary anchorage devices appears to be a promising treatment method. (Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2010;137:274-84)

Treatment of young Class III patients with maxillary deficiency is generally directed toward achieving positive overjet through a combination of dentoalveolar and skeletal effects. Protraction facemask therapy or reverse-pull headgear (RPHG) is perhaps the most common approach for early treatment of these patients. This approach is limited in that the forces are applied to the teeth, resulting in uncertain skeletal and often unwanted dentoalveolar effects. Even with appliance modifications to minimize tooth movement and maximize orthopedic correction, some dentoalveolar effects seem inevitable. For satisfactory clinical improvement, excellent compliance with a somewhat cumbersome extraoral appliance is required, and treatment regimens recommend wearing the appliance for 12 to 16 hours per day for 9 to 12 months.

The short-term outcomes of maxillary protraction treatment have been documented, and most investigations have described some limited orthopedic effect on the maxilla (2-3 mm of advancement on average), clockwise rotation of the mandible, and dentoalveolar changes consistent with treatment of Class III malocclusion (proclination of maxillary incisors and retroclination of mandibular incisors). Since dentoalveolar changes tend to be the most prone to relapse, it seems advantageous to minimize the dentoalveolar effects while maximizing the orthopedic correction. Furthermore, because a significant proportion of patients with maxillary deficient Class III skeletal malocclusion ultimately require orthognathic surgery, any treatment approach that could eliminate the need for, or reduce the extent of, future surgery would be of great benefit to these patients.

The use of temporary anchorage devices (TADs) in orthodontics has increased over recent years. One type of TAD, a modification of the titanium miniplate frequently used in orthognathic surgery for osteotomy or fracture fixation, has been used successfully as a skeletal anchorage device for various orthodontic applications. Most of these applications have focused on achieving dental movements, but recent case reports...
have demonstrated the use of TADs as an adjunct to orthopedic treatment. The advantages of absolute anchorage to aid in maxillary protraction were introduced in 1985 by Kokich et al., who applied protraction forces from a facemask to intentionally ankylosed deciduous canines serving as natural implants in a patient with maxillary deficiency. Later, Smalley et al. experimented with osseointegrated Branemark-style implants for maxillary protraction in monkeys (Macaca nemestrina) and had dramatic skeletal results. More recent reports from Singer et al., Enacar et al., Hong et al., Kircelli and Pektas, and Kircelli et al. demonstrated the potential for TADs as adjuncts to orthopedic maxillary protraction.

The purpose of this pilot study was to assess a new treatment approach for the correction of maxillary deficiency and describe the 3-dimensional (3D) skeletal and dental changes when TADs are used with intermaxillary elastics. The study required the development of a 3D analytic method to document the treatment changes for young growing patients.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This collaborative prospective study involved 3 centers (2 university departments of orthodontics and 1 private practice). The project was approved by a university committee for research on human subjects. This report describes the first 6 consecutive patients (3 boys, 3 girls; mean age, 11 years 8 months) undergoing the initial orthopedic phase of treatment. Criteria for participation in the study were 9-14 years of age at the start of treatment, skeletal Class III due primarily to maxillary deficiency (determined by clinical examination including profile evaluation), Class III dental occlusion determined by the permanent first molars or overjet $\leq 0$ mm, and sufficient dental development, to avoid injury to unerupted mandibular permanent canines during surgical placement of the miniplates. All 6 patients were at prepubertal cervical vertebral maturation stages. The treatment protocol at all sites was based on the clinical experience of the orthodontist (H.J.D.) who developed this technique.

In the surgical procedure, 4 miniplates were placed in each patient—1 in each infrayzygomatic buttress of the maxilla and 1 in the anterior mandible between and inferior to the left and right permanent lateral incisor and canine. Flaps were reflected in these sites, and the devices were secured by using titanium miniscrews after pilot hole preparation. The modified titanium miniplates incorporated an intraoral attachment with a locking fixation screw to allow customizable traction hooks (Fig 1). In all sites, the miniplates were placed with the attachment arm exiting through attached tissue at or near the mucogingival junction. All mucoperiosteal flaps were secured with 4/0 resorbable sutures. All surgical procedures for these 6 patients were done by the same experienced oral surgeons. The surgical sites were allowed to heal for 2 to 3 weeks before orthopedic loading. The surgical procedures are well tolerated by patients.

In the orthopedic protocol, the miniplates were loaded 3 weeks after surgery. One elastic was placed on each side to give vectors of force downward and forward for the maxilla and backward and upward (counterclockwise) for the mandible (Fig 2). The elastics were chosen to provide an initial force of approximately 150 g to each side, increased to 200 g after 1 month of traction and to 250 g after 2 months. The forces were measured with the patient in maximum intercuspation by using a Correx force gauge (Haag-Streit, Bern, Switzerland). The patients were instructed to wear the elastics 24 hours per day. The elastics were replaced at least once a day. On the day of loading, oral hygiene instructions were reiterated with particular emphasis on brushing the tissues around the miniplates with a soft toothbrush. The mean duration of orthopedic traction to the maxilla was 12.5 months, with a range of 9 to 14 months. The decision to discontinue orthopedic treatment was made when the clinician judged that adequate positive overjet was achieved.

To visualize the treatment changes in 3 dimensions, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans were taken immediately after placement of the miniplates (T1), and, at approximately 1 year (T2), or the conclusion of the orthopedic treatment, whichever came first. The scans were acquired with an iCat machine (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, Pa) with a 40-second scan and a 16 $\times$ 22-cm field of view.

The data from each CBCT scan were saved as digital imaging and communications in medicine (DICOM) files—the standard for distributing any medical images regardless of the machine used for acquisition. Before image analysis, the data were processed by using the methods of Cevidanes et al. but modified for growing patients. This process involved the following steps.

1. **Segmentation** is the process of defining the shape of structures in each orthogonal slice of the CBCT data (axial, coronal, and sagittal) by outlining the structures in each cross section. The process was completed by using the ITK-SNAP software, a freely available open-source program. Segmentation produces a true 3D surface model, and this was created from both the T1 and T2 scans of each patient (Fig 3).
2. Before superimposition of the 2 time points, the models had to be registered in a common coordinate system, because their initial orientation reflected merely how the patient was positioned in the scanner. Registration was completed with a novel sequence of fully automated voxel-wise rigid registration at the anterior cranial fossa in the Imagine 1.2.1 Pipeline Software developed at the University of North Carolina. This method, developed by Cevidanès et al., masks anatomic structures altered by treatment or growth to avoid observer-dependent reliance on subjectively defined anatomic landmarks. In this study, the initial and final 3D models were registered on anterior cranial fossa structures, specifically the endocranial surfaces of the cribiform plate region of the ethmoid bone and the frontal bone. These regions were chosen because of their early completion of growth.30-32

3. Visualization and assessment of changes were done only after registration, when the 2 models can be superimposed to assess change. The T1 and T2 registered models were cropped to yield 4 models: soft-tissue model, hard-tissue skull model (including the maxilla, mandible, and cranial base), a maxilla and mandible only model (with the cranial base cropped away), and a cranial base only model (with the maxilla and mandible cropped away). Three-dimensional surfaces were created for all models and loaded into the superimposition program, CMFApp (developed by the Institute for Surgical Technology & Biomechanics, Bern, Switzerland), which uses the iterative closest point method for posttreatment assessment.29 The initial and registered final models were superimposed, and the treatment changes were expressed via color maps that represent the closest-point surface distance from the final model to the initial one. Areas on the red end of the spectrum have positive mean surface-distance values and represent outward movement. Areas on the blue end of the spectrum have negative mean surface-distance values and represent inward movement. Areas that are green showed little or no change in surface distance between the initial and final models (Fig 4). For additional quantitative assessment of the changes between the T1 and T2 models, the isoline tool was used. It allows the user to define a surface-distance value that is then expressed as a contour line (isoline) that corresponds to regions having a surface distance equal to or greater than the defined value. The color map allows for both visual and quantitative assessments of treatment changes.

Fig 1. Modified titanium miniplates used as TADs.

Fig 2. Intermaxillary elastics to customized attachment hooks on modified titanium miniplates.
Fig 3. Segmentation process: defining anatomic boundaries in all 3 planes to create 3D surface model with the open-source ITK-SNAP program.

Fig 4. Three-dimensional superimposition visualization tools. With the color map tool, areas on the red end of the spectrum have positive values of mean surface distance between the T1 and T2 models, and represent surfaces with outward movement. Areas on the blue end of the spectrum have negative values of mean surface distance between the T1 and T2 models, and represent surfaces with inward movement. Areas that are green have little or no change in surface distance between the T1 and T2 models. With the semitransparency tool, the T1 model is solid red, and the superimposed T2 model is a semitransparent gray mesh to allow qualitative assessment of change.
An alternative way of displaying the treatment change is to superimpose both 3D models, modifying the final model to be semitransparent so that the underlying initial model can be visualized (Fig 4). This tool provides a qualitative assessment of the areas and magnitudes of change.

The reliability of the 3D superimpositions was tested by 3 examiners (G.C.H., M.C., L.C.), each of whom created models from T1 and T2 for the first 3 patients. Minimum surface-distance changes in several anatomic regions were compared across examiners for each patient. The values were all less than or equal to the 0.5-mm voxel resolution of the CBCT volume. That is, in each case, the differences in measurements were equal to or smaller than the resolution of the image.

The DICOM files were also used to create synthetic lateral cephalograms for both T1 and T2. These were created by using imaging software (version 10.1, Dolphin Imaging, Chatsworth, Calif), which uses an algorithm that recreates perspective (vs orthogonal) projections. The software allows the user to specify where the central ray of the imaginary x-ray beam is focused. In each case, the beam was centered on porion to most closely match the traditional cephalometric patient positioning. All cephalograms were digitally traced 3 times by the same examiner (G.C.H.) using the Dolphin program. The cephalometric measurements selected were those most commonly reported in the facemask literature. The linear distances between A-point at T1 and T2 were evaluated by using the Dolphin x-y coordinate system, with sella as the coordinate center of the T1 tracing. Measurement accuracy was assessed by using intraclass correlation coefficients, which were between 0.91 and 0.98 for all measurements.

RESULTS

Each patient was evaluated with focus on the following anatomic regions: (1) anterior surface of the maxilla in the region encompassing A-point, (2) zygomatic processes of the maxilla, (3) anterior mandible in the region of pogonion, (4) anterior and posterior surfaces of the condyles, (5) inferior borders of the mandible, and (6) glenoid fossae. The skeletal changes between T1 and T2 in the regions of interest are shown as color maps or semitransparencies in Figures 5 through 9.

Figures 5 and 6 show the skeletal changes between T1 and T2 in the maxilla as color maps and semitransparencies. All patients showed a positive (outward) movement in the zygomatic process region, and all but 1 patient showed a positive change in the anterior maxillary region. The maxillary teeth also moved forward but to a variable extent. Overjet was positive in all patients at T2 but was not necessarily overcorrected.

The changes in the anterior mandibular region were more variable in both magnitude and direction (Fig 5). There were small individual differences in surface distances between the left and right condyles, but all patients showed a positive change on the posterior surfaces and a negative (inward) change on the anterior surfaces (Figs 6 and 7). The inferior border of the mandible showed positive change for all patients (Fig 7).

With the maxilla and mandible cropped away, the inferior surface of the cranial base, including the glenoid fossae, can be visualized. Three patients showed little change in the glenoid fossae, but 3 showed slight negative changes on the posterior and superior surfaces, and 1 showed a slight positive change on the anterior surfaces (Fig 8).

The soft tissues in the upper lip and nasal regions showed positive changes for all patients but with considerable variations in magnitude (Fig 9).

The synthetic cephalograms were used to provide comparisons to existing databases of skeletal and dental changes. Because of the small sample size, individual, rather than aggregated, changes are given in Tables I and II. SNA increased in 5 of the 6 patients, and ANB increased in all patients. The changes in SNB and mandibular plane angle (SN-Go-Gn) showed greater variations (Table II). The maxillary incisor angulation, assessed by the U1-SN angle, increased in 3 patients and decreased in 3 patients. Mandibular incisor angulation assessed by the IMPA increased in all patients (Table II). In every patient, there was forward horizontal movement of A-point (Table II).

DISCUSSION

The intents of this study were to evaluate a new treatment approach for young Class III patients and to describe in 3 dimensions the skeletal, dental, and soft-tissue changes observed. The underlying hypothesis was that this treatment would result in orthopedic correction without adverse dentoalveolar effects. The results seem to confirm that, on average, patients experienced a positive skeletal effect at least comparable with that reported in the RPHG literature, with no adverse dentoalveolar effects and soft-tissue changes that reduced the Class III facial appearance.

The use of CBCT for this study allowed the treatment changes to be visualized and described in greater detail than with 2-dimensional (2D) imaging alone. There are no normative 3D databases available, and it could be that the changes seen in this pilot study are not different from those that some patients experience with growth alone. The literature on untreated Class III patients suggests that the most usual pattern for
incremental growth is for less maxillary and greater mandibular growth, causing SNA to remain nearly the same or even decrease, SNB to increase slightly, and ANB to decrease over time.\textsuperscript{35-39} This is in direct contrast to the changes observed in this study.

The ability to use CBCT to create lateral cephalograms as “intermediaries” allows some broad comparisons to existing 2D data, but the question remains, given the increased ionizing radiation exposure and the time required to prepare each patient’s DICOM files through the steps of segmentation, registration, and visualization (25-40 hours for each patient in this study), whether 3D imaging is appropriate and practical for all patients. Undoubtedly, there is a learning curve in such a technique-sensitive method, and it is probable that, in time, the steps will become more efficient and automated. Now, this analytic method has its greatest utility only for research.
This pilot study of 6 patients does not allow generalization of the results of the variations in treatment responses. A larger sample, with concurrent internal comparison groups is needed for assessment of the effects of age, sex, treatment duration, or other variables. Why some patients experienced greater change than others is unknown. The age range in this study is on the high end of that reported in the RPHG literature as most effective for optimal orthopedic changes. It may be that beginning treatment earlier would increase the magnitude of orthopedic changes, but earlier treatment also allows a longer time for patients to outgrow the correction. The timing of this treatment is in part dictated by the level of dental development (mandibular canines at or near eruption), but it is possible that modification of the miniplate design might overcome this limitation.

Concerns about maintenance of stable miniplates throughout treatment certainly influenced the selection of force magnitudes used in this study; the underlying concern was that excessive force applied to any TAD

**Fig 7.** Color maps of 3D superimpositions for all 6 patients. The 3D models have been manipulated so that the maxilla and the mandible are viewed from the posterior.

**Fig 8.** Color maps of 3D superimpositions for all 6 patients. The 3D models have been manipulated to view the cranial base and the glenoid fossae from below.
can result in clinical failure, even though most of the literature does not support this idea.\textsuperscript{14,40,41} Forces used on tooth-borne maxillary protraction devices for RPHG are usually about 600 to 800 g and sometimes as high as 1000 g. In this study, relatively low loading forces of 150 g to a maximum of 250 g per side were used, and seemed adequate to achieve clinical improvements in these young patients. It remains to be seen whether higher force levels would result in greater or more rapid orthopedic changes.

The magnitude of changes in the maxilla were similar to those reported for A-point change with RPHG treatment.\textsuperscript{7,42-44} However, cephalometric studies have generally assessed maxillary change by tracking midline landmarks and give no information about how other regions of the maxilla respond to treatment. In this study, all patients showed a positive change at the zygomatic process regions of the maxilla. Reports of the effects of maxillary protraction from RPHG on the zygomatic and infraorbital regions of the maxilla have been conflicting, with Pangrazio-Kulbersh et al\textsuperscript{45} reporting no change in the position of orbitale in young patients treated with maxillary protraction, whereas Nartallo-Turley and Turley\textsuperscript{46} reported significant advancement of both key ridge and orbitale. Most recently, Kircelli and Pektas\textsuperscript{20} demonstrated significant anterior movement of orbitale (mean, 3.3 mm) in patients treated with protraction facemask to maxillary TADs. One explanation for the large changes observed in the zygomatic regions for our patients was that these areas were closest to the miniplates. With the force application so close to the zygomaticomaxillary sutures, it is possible that greater change in this region could be achieved than with conventional methods of maxillary protraction (Fig 10). Until 3D outcomes of RPHG patients are reported, there is no way to know whether similar results occur with regard to regional maxillary change.

The mandibular changes observed in this study must be interpreted with caution. A positional change of a mobile region (mandibular surfaces) will also be shown on the color maps as a color other than green. All patients in this study showed a negative change on the anterior surfaces of the condyles and a positive change on the posterior surfaces, suggesting that there was at least some posterior repositioning of the mandible. The larger movements might have been associated with resolution of anterior posturing of the mandible during treatment, but, in 3 of the 6 subjects, the color map visualization tool also showed negative changes on the posterior and superior surfaces of the glenoid fossae. This tends to support earlier reports of glenoid fossa adaptations after posterior and superior directed forces from chin cup treatment, perhaps the most similar treatment with regard to mandibular effects.\textsuperscript{57,48}

There are virtually no published data to describe 3D soft-tissue changes over time for any sample, let alone growing Class III patients. All 6 patients had a positive change at the surface region that encompassed the upper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>SNA</th>
<th>SNB</th>
<th>ANB</th>
<th>MPA</th>
<th>U1-SN</th>
<th>IMPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10 y</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>–3.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>88.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 y</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 y 1 mo</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>–3.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>109.2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11 y 9 mo</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11 y 10 mo</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>–0.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 y 3 mo</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>–0.2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 9. Semitranparency of 3D superimpositions for all 6 patients. The 3D models have been manipulated to view the soft-tissue changes in profile.
lip. Given our understanding that the underlying hard
tissues of the maxilla tend to change little over a similar
period of time with no intervention, it seems unlikely
that the observed soft-tissue pattern would have oc-
curred by growth alone. It is also notable, and perhaps
surprising, that, in 5 of 6 patients, the entire nasal com-
plex appeared to rotate anteriorly and superiorly, sug-
gestng that the forces from the TADs were dispersed
widely through the nasomaxillary complex.

Cephalometric measurement has been the standard
for assessment of change in patients treated with
RPHG, and the results are widely reported. In a 1999
meta-analysis, Kim et al7 assessed the effectiveness of
protraction facemask therapy. They reported the results
of all studies that met their inclusion criteria and strati-
fied them by age. Compared with the RPHG data from
similar age groups, our results show some similarities
in skeletal changes and differences in dentoalveolar
movements. It has been well documented that facemask
treatment results in an increase in maxillary incisor an-
gulation and a decrease in mandibular incisor angula-
tion.5,37,38,49,50 We did not observe these changes. The
dentoalveolar effects observed in this study tended to
be in the opposite directions, possibly as a result of al-
teration of soft-tissue equilibrium forces. Perhaps in-
creased tongue pressure on the mandibular incisors as
an anterior crossbite is resolved and increased forces
from the upper lip on the maxillary incisors are respon-
sible for these changes. If the pattern of dentoalveolar
change seen in our study could be shown to be consis-
tent with this treatment approach, this would be an ad-
vantage to other treatments, since chance of later
relapse should be minimized.

It has been well documented that MPA tends to in-
crease significantly during protraction facemask ther-
apy,3,37,49,50 whereas it decreases over the same time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Treatment time (mo)</th>
<th>(\Delta SNA)</th>
<th>(\Delta SNB)</th>
<th>(\Delta ANB)</th>
<th>(\Delta MPA)</th>
<th>(\Delta U1-SN)</th>
<th>(\Delta IMPA)</th>
<th>Horizontal A-point change (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 10. Location of the miniplate relative to the zygomaticomaxillary suture. Note that this figure
is only for visualization purposes as this is a skull of an adult, and the anatomic contour of the
zygomatic process of the maxilla changes with age.
in untreated Class III patients. Changes in the mandibular plane in the patients assessed in this study were variable. If MPA could be consistently decreased or remain nearly the same with this treatment, then it would represent a distinct advantage to protraction facemask therapy, particularly in the treatment of high-angle Class III patients for whom any increase in MPA is undesirable. Clearly, the vertical component of force application with this treatment warrants further investigation.

This study was a first attempt to explore morphologic changes that occur with the new treatment. It would be enlightening to continue to enroll patients by using the same experimental protocols to acquire a larger sample size. A logical subsequent step might be a randomized clinical trial to directly compare outcomes (in 2D or 3D) from those treated with maxillary protraction with TADs with those treated with RPHG. In 3D research, there are nearly endless opportunities for describing normal growth and treatment outcomes with focus on both hard and soft tissues. Advances along these lines will aid the diagnosis and treatment of preadolescent patients with maxillary deficiency.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The use of intermaxillary elastics from TADs improves skeletal relationships in maxillary deficient Class III patients with minimal dentoalveolar compensation.
2. Three-dimensional data from CBCT allowed for a more thorough documentation of treatment changes in these young patients.
3. Carefully controlled studies are needed to elucidate the factors that affected treatment response.
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